Removal of arsenic compounds from water using ironbased materials

J. Kolařík¹, R. Prucek¹, J. Tuček¹, J. Filip¹, Z. Marušál¹, V.K. Sharma², R. Zboril¹ ¹Regional Center of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Physics, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Czech Republic ²Chemistry department and Center of Ferrate Excellence, Florida Institute of Technology, 150 United States

jan.kolarik@upol.cz

Arsenite $(As^{III}O_3^{3-})$ and arsenate $(As^{V}O_4^{3-})$ represent a great threat to the environmental. The properties of arsenic sulfide and related compounds have been known to physicians and professional poisoners since the fifth century BC.¹ Anthropogenic contaminations of all water types represent a danger in many countries. Arsenic compounds were using for many anthropogenic activities such as mining, agriculture, pharmacology, preservation of wood, glazier and in the last time in electronics.² Several recent reports highlight emergency of arsenic contaminations of the surface and drinking water in Bangladesh,^{3,4} India,⁵ Nepal,⁶ Vietnam,⁷ Taiwan⁸ and Chile.⁹ In these countries, the arsenic concentration are alarming when compared with the limit of 10 µg/l in drinking water, which being suggested as limiting safevalue by World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines.¹⁰

Of the many techniques for removing arsenic compounds from water such as reversible osmosis, ion exchange and membrane filtration has found the greatest application adsorption arsenic using sorbents.¹¹ Many materials have been tested for arsenic removal by adsorption such as volcanic ash, clay minerals, ghoetit, surface-treated carbon black or iron oxides.¹⁰ These materials are the environmentally friendly but the limitation of all these materials is their low sorption capacity for arsenic removal. The weight ratio of the sorbent-to-arsenic ranges from 1000:1 to 100:1, at best 10:1.

Ferrates potassium K₂FeO₄ (FeVI) is

environmentally friendly and has strong oxidizing properties. Its redox potential ranges from 2.2 V in acidic environment to 0.72 in a basic environment. Fe(VI) in reaction with water rapidly reduced and transformed to iron oxides Fe(III) and is produced molecular oxygen. In this reaction, formed iron oxide serve as a good absorbent. These oxidation and adsorption effects of Fe(VI) bring very efficient purification of contaminated water.¹² We report the first example of arsenite and arsenate removal from water by incorporation of arsenic into the structure of nanocrystalline iron(III) oxide.

This work investigated the process of "in-situ" arsenic removal by Fe(VI) and to compare with the effect of arsenic sorption by maghemite and KFeO₂. Sorption kinetics, the removal efficiency of arsenic in dependence of the amount of sorbent and the dependence on pH value were tested. For Fe(VI) sorption As(V) and As (III) was studied and for maghemite and KFeO₂ sorption As(V) was tested only. In all experiments, the amounts of arsenic were adjusted so that the concentration of arsenic was 100 mg/L. The weighted amounts of sorbent were dissolved in an aqueous solution containing arsenic species; the Erlenmeyer flasks containing reaction solutions (the final volumes of the solutions were 30 mL) were shaken on a conventional endoverend shaker for a 30 minutes and subsequently filtered through 450 nm syringe filters. Determinations of arsenic concentrations were carried out by AAS immediately after the separation of the sorbent from the solution. Samples of Fe(VI) were characterized using TEM, SQUID methods and Mössbauer spectroscopy.

The authors acknowledge the support by projects OP VaVpl CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0058, OPVK CZ.1.07/2.3.00/20.0056, TE01010218 and internal grant UP Olomouc PrF_2014032.

References

[1] Greenwood & Earnshaw, A. Chemistry Elements. Food and Agriculture Organization of

the United Nations, 1989. [2] Smith, E. at al., Adv. Agron., 64 (1998) 149– 195.

[3] Hossain, M. F., Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 113 (2006) 1–16.

[4] Chowdhury, U. K. et al., Arsen. Expo. Heal. Eff. Iii, (1999) 165–182.

[5] Das, D. et al., Environ. Geochem. Heal., 18 (1996) 5–15.

- [6] Thakur, J. K. et al., Water, 3 (2010) 1–20.
- [7] Berg, M. et al. Environ. Sci. Technol., 35 (2001) 2621–2626.
- [8] Chen, S.-L. et al., Environ. Sci. Technol., 28 (1994) 877–881.
- [9] Ferreccio, C. et al., J. Health Popul. Nutr., 24 (2006) 164–175.
- [10] Mohan, D. et al., J. Hazard. Mater., 142 (2007) 1–53.
- [11] Bang, S. et al., J. Hazard. Mater., 121 (2005) 61–67.
- [12] Sharma, V. K. et al., J. Water Health, 3 (2005) 45–58.